

Record of proceedings dated 11.02.2021

O. P. No. 7 of 2019

M/s. Prathmesh Solar Farms Private Limited Vs. TSTRANSCO, M/s. Mytrah Agriya Power Private Limited, CGM (Comml & TAC) TSSPDCL

Petition filed questioning the action of the TSTRANSCO in allowing another project to utilize the facilities developed by the petitioner contrary to the regulations.

Smt. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate for the petitioner, Sri Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent No. 1 and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent No. 3 have appeared through video conference. There is no representation for the respondent No. 2. The counsel for the petitioner stated that on the last occasion the counsel for respondent No. 2 sought time for filing counter affidavit, but no counter affidavit is filed in the matter. The counsel for respondent No. 1 and the representative of the respondent No. 3 stated that they have filed the counter affidavit in the matter.

Considering that the pleadings are incomplete, the matter is adjourned and time is granted to respondent No. 2 to file counter affidavit immediately duly serving the same to all the parties through email / physical form, as otherwise, the Commission will proceed with the matter and hear the same finally.

Call on 22.02.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 132 of 2018
in
O. P. No. 36 of 2018

M/s. GEA BGR Energy System India Limited Vs. Spl. Chief Secretary, Energy Department, TSSPDCL & CGM (IPC & RAC) TSSPDCL

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 15.09.2018 passed in O. P. No. 36 of 2018.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the review petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that they need time to file counter affidavit in the matter and accordingly

requested for adjourning the matter by two weeks. Since the pleadings are incomplete, the matter is adjourned, the respondents shall file their counter affidavits on or before 05.03.2021 duly serving copies of the same to the review petitioner either through email or physical form. Likewise, the review petitioner may file rejoinder, if any on or before 10.03.2021 duly serving the same to all the parties through email / physical form.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

I. A. No. 13 of 2018
in
O. P. No. 4 of 2013

M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2013-14 for category of HT-I (b) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the applicant stated that the matter relates to the issue of clarification of the tariff order for FY 2013-14 insofar as conditions stipulated in the order. The representative of the respondents stated that the counter affidavit has been filed in the matter. The counsel for applicant stated that he is not in receipt of the counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents agreed to provide a copy of the same immediately to the applicant by email. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.02.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

I. A. No. 14 of 2019
in
O. P. No. 4 of 2012

M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2012-13 for category of HT-I (b) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the applicant stated that the matter relates to the issue of clarification of the tariff order for FY 2012-13 insofar as conditions stipulated in the order. The representative of the respondents stated that the counter affidavit has been filed in the matter. The counsel for applicant stated that he is not in receipt of the counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents agreed to provide a copy of the same immediately to the applicant by email. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.02.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

I. A. (SR) No. 28 of 2019
in
O. P. No. 21 of 2017

M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2018-19 for category of HT-I (b) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the applicant stated that the matter relates to the issue of clarification of the tariff order for FY 2018-19 insofar as conditions stipulated in the order. The representative of the respondents stated that the counter affidavit has been filed in the matter. The counsel for applicant stated that he is not in receipt of the counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents agreed to provide a copy of the same immediately to the applicant by email. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.02.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 22 of 2020
&
I. A. No. 3 of 2021

M/s. ACME Dayakara Solar Power Private Limited Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed Seeking direction that the payment of entry tax may be treated as change in law and for reimbursement of the amount.

I. A. filed seeking amendment in the prayer of the original petition.

Sri. Hemant Sahai, Senior Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for respondent have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner has filed additional documents and also an application for amending the prayer in the petition to include the relief of payment of carrying costs of the dues payable towards entry tax. He also stated that in the application by oversight did not mention the percentage of the carrying cost or its value. He stated that the additional affidavit has also been filed to bring out the additional submissions. The respondent has filed counter affidavit to the application only the other day, eventhough, the Commission had specifically fixed the time period for filing the same and also directed him to file rejoinder, if any by this date. As the counter affidavit did not mention or reply to the submissions in the application and additional affidavit, he needs time to file rejoinder to the submissions. The representative of the respondent stated that the application being in addition to the original petition and there being no additional submissions, the counter affidavit has been filed only objecting to the amendment of the prayer. Otherwise, the respondent is ready with the matter. The rejoinder, if any, may be filed on or before 22.02.2021 by duly serving a copy of the same to the respondent through email / physical form.

Considering the request of the counsel for the petitioner, the matter is adjourned. It is made clear that no further adjournment in the matter will be considered.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman